Quantum optics and qubits

Single photon is beautiful, but we expect from it some practical application

- Thermal radiation
  - All hot objects emit light
  - Emission spectrum can be measured
  - Classical physics predicts infinite intensity







Remind few words about light and states

Plain wave:

$$\vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = \vec{E}_0 e^{i\vec{k}\vec{r}-i\omega t} + c.c.$$

Remind few words about light and states

Plain wave:

$$\vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = \vec{E}_0 e^{i\vec{k}\vec{r}-i\omega t} + c.c.$$

Combination of plain waves makes slowly-varying envelope :

A. I. Lvovsky. Nonlinear and Quantum Optics



Figure 1.1: A pulse with a slowly varying envelope.

Remind few words about light and states

Plain wave:

$$\vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = \vec{E}_0 e^{i\vec{k}\vec{r}-i\omega t} + c.c.$$

Combination of plain waves makes slowly-varying envelope :

A. I. Lvovsky. Nonlinear and Quantum Optics



Figure 1.1: A pulse with a slowly varying envelope.

At the same time light can be qauntized:



Single-Photons are Elementary Particles

- Semi-transparent mirror



What is the “shape” of the photon?

Single-Photons are Elementary Particles

- Semi-transparent mirror



It cannot be split



What is the “shape” of the photon?

States of light

Vacuum state

$|0\rangle$



States of light

Fock state:

$|n\rangle$ - defined number of photons

What about ΔEΔt ???

$$|n\rangle = \frac{\left(\hat{a}^\dagger\right)^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|0\rangle$$

$$\hat{a}^\dagger|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}\,|n+1\rangle\,;$$

$$\hat{a}|n\rangle = \sqrt{n}\,|n-1\rangle\,;$$

$$\hat{n} = \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}$$



States of light

Fock state:
$|n\rangle$ - defined number of photons
What about ΔEΔt ???

$$|n\rangle = \frac{\left(\hat{a}^\dagger\right)^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|0\rangle$$

$$\hat{a}^\dagger|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}\,|n+1\rangle;$$

$$\hat{a}|n\rangle = \sqrt{n}\,|n-1\rangle;$$

$$\hat{n} = \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}$$



A. I. Lvovsky. Nonlinear and Quantum Optics

Coherent state: $|\alpha\rangle$

$$|\alpha\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2}\sum_n \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|n\rangle$$

$\hat{a}|\alpha\rangle =$?

$\langle n\rangle$ =?



the Poisson distribution with $\langle n\rangle = 4$ (empty circles) and $\langle n\rangle = 25$ (filled circles).

How to observe $\langle n \rangle$?

Threshold detector clicks on 1+ photons, we can put many of them



Superconducting nanowire bolometers can distinguish number of photons



How do we implement annihilation operator in the real experiment?

Homework

- How to realize annihilation operator
- Can it be deterministic?

Beamsplitter

$$\begin{pmatrix} E'^{(+)}_{01} \\ E'^{(+)}_{02} \end{pmatrix} = \underline{B} \begin{pmatrix} E^{(+)}_{01} \\ E^{(+)}_{02} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\underline{B} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{B} = \begin{pmatrix} t & -r \\ r & t \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{a}'_1 \\ \hat{a}'_2 \end{pmatrix} = \underline{B} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a}_1 \\ \hat{a}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$



How does nature decides where is +r and where –r ?

Beamsplitter represents an absorbtion:



The “bomb” paradox

[A. Elitzur and L. Vaidman (1993)]

- Mach-Zehnder interferometer tuned to get all signal on A



- If we move to single photon signal all clicks will still be on A

The “bomb” paradox

[A. Elitzur and L. Vaidman (1993)]

- Mach-Zehnder interferometer tuned to get all signal on A



- If cut one arm the signal will be split 50/50



- *Single photon will click random detector*

The “bomb” paradox

[A. Elitzur and L. Vaidman (1993)]

- Interaction-free weapons inspection
  - Insert a single-photon sensitive bomb into one of the interferometer arms



  - Bomb absent
    → **interference observed: all photons emerge at A**
  - Bomb present
    → **no interference: photons emerge at A or B**
    → **bomb may or may not explode**
  - Photon detected at B (what probability ?)
    → **bomb is present**
    → *bomb has been detected without any interaction!*

Phase of the state

Fock state:
$|n\rangle$ - defined number of photons

$$|n\rangle = \frac{\left(\hat{a}^\dagger\right)^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|0\rangle$$

Coherent state: $|\alpha\rangle$

$$|\alpha\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2}\sum_n \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|n\rangle$$

Global phase of the state

Fock state:
$|n\rangle$ - defined number of photons

$$|n\rangle = \frac{(\hat{a}^\dagger)^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|0\rangle$$

NO

Coherent state: $|\alpha\rangle$

$$|\alpha\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2}\sum_n \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|n\rangle$$

YES

Hong-Ou-Mandel effect



$$a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$$

Qubit

Optical implementations of a qubit

- **Single-rail qubit**

$$|0_L\rangle = |0\rangle \qquad |1_L\rangle = |1\rangle$$

CV qubits



- **Polarization qubit great for BB84 protocol**

- Dual-rail qubit

$$|0_L\rangle = |1,0\rangle \qquad |1_L\rangle = |0,1\rangle$$

Polarizing beam splitter

$$|0_L\rangle = |H\rangle$$



$$|1_L\rangle = |V\rangle$$



What methods of encoding you can propose?
Can we encode more than one bit?

€3 bn

$20 bn

$12 bn

$400 m

Alibaba 阿里巴巴集团

$150 m

Google IBM

Microsoft

$100 m

$75 m

intel

$50 m




Stat
Attn
DDR

States vizualization



Figure 6.3: The Bloch sphere.



$|0\rangle=|A\rangle+|B\rangle$

Other combinations?

States vizualization



Figure 6.3: The Bloch sphere.

States vizualization



Figure 6.3: The Bloch sphere.

States vizualization



Figure 6.3: The Bloch sphere.

States vizualization



Figure 6.3: The Bloch sphere.

States vizualization



Figure 6.3: The Bloch sphere.

States vizualization



Figure 6.3: The Bloch sphere.

States vizualization



Figure 6.3: The Bloch sphe

Phase and polarization



Figure 6.3: The Bloch sphere.

Poincaré sphere in case of polarization



Polarization of Photons

- Direction of oscillation of the electric field associated to a lightwave



- Polarization states



- What can we do with it ?



How do we prepare states?

- We decide to use modern 10GHz fiber phase modulator as Pockels cell
- Even small time imbalance will break interference in the case of chirped pulse
- We propose to use identical phase modulator on the Bob side rotated to π/2 to compensate the polarization mode dispersion.
- 

- Bob use this modulator for active basis choice
- Two detectors are used instead of four
- This scheme will allow to make QKD transmitter that of a USB stick size.

- *A. Duplinskiy, V. Ustimchik, A. Kanapin, V. Kurochkin, Y. Kurochkin. Low loss QKD optical scheme for fast polarization encoding // Opt. Express 25(23), 28886-28897 (2017).*

States prepared by Pockels cell

- Polarization distortion induced by long quantum channel are compensated by polarization controller
- At the entrance of Alice's polarization controller amplitudes of two polarization components should be equal (polarization is not obligatory linear)
- BB84 states are not obligatory diagonal +45, diagonal -45, left and right. It can be any pair of maximally non orthogonal states combined by equal horizontal

Δφ	SOP	Δφ	SOP
0		0	
π/2		π/2	
π		π	
3π/2		3π/2	

Continuous variable operate infinite dimensions





Credit: P. Grangier, "Make It Quantum and Continuous", Science (Perspective) 332, 313 (2011)

Quantum cryptography is beautiful application of single particle



Alice and Bob: to estimate the Eve's information I_{AE} on key

I_{AE} small: Error correction + Privacy amplification

I_{AE} large: STOP

Experimentalists: to maximize I_{AB}

Theorists: to quantify I_{AE}

- New protocols -> higher tolerance to noise, bit rate and distance growth
- New methods to prepare and measure states -> reduce size and cost
- Security analysis and attacks -> search for good model of non-ideal components

Secure now.
Secure in the future.

QRATE

How it looks









EMERGING QUANTUM COMMUNICATION INDUSTRY

2018:
SK Telecom deal at $130M valuation

2020:
Quantum Unicorn IPO
Valuation $2,3B in 2021

2021:
$13.5M Series A Funding
$59M valuation

We’re looking for talents!

Yury Kurochkin
yk@goqrate.com

QUANTUM COMMUNICATIONS

Entangled states



$$\Psi = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle = |\uparrow_A\uparrow_B\rangle + |\downarrow_A\downarrow_B\rangle =$$
$$= |0_A 0_B\rangle + |1_A 1_B\rangle$$

Can we use it to send information?

Einstein, A.; Podolsky, B.; Rosen, N. (1935). "Can Quantum-Mechanical Description of Physical Reality Be Considered Complete?". Physical Review. 47 (10): 777–780. Bibcode:1935PhRv...47..777E. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.47.777

Bell, John (1964). "On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen Paradox" (PDF). Physics. 1 (3): 195–200. doi:10.1103/PhysicsPhysiqueFizika.1.195

Freedman, Stuart J.; Clauser, John F. (1972). "Experimental Test of Local Hidden-Variable Theories" (PDF). Physical Review Letters. 28 (14): 938–941. Bibcode:1972PhRvL..28..938F. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.28.938.

Alain Aspect (1976)
Proposed experiment to test the nonseparability of quantum mechanics, Phys. Rev. D 14, 1944

How do we implement annihilation operator in the real experiment?



The scheme. A "click" indicates that a photon has been removed from $|\psi\rangle$



Experimental quantum process tomogralphy

R. Kumar, E. Barrios, C. Kupchak and A.L., PRL **110**, 130403 (2013)

Annihilation operator is non-deterministic

- Trace of the process output is given by the "click" probability
- The process involving the annihilation operator can change the state at a distance but cannot be used for faster than light communication because we need to transmit information about click

Irreversibility of Measurements



Детектор одиночных фотонов – ключевой элемент



- Квантовая эффективность 10%
- Шумы 3*10^(-7)
- Частота повторения стробов 300 MHz.
- Ширина окна приема сигнала 400 ps



High bit rate quantum random number generator





2017-2018 Sberbank field tests

VPN

25 km, 14 dB loss.





- Two Sberbank offices
- 25 km line, 8 segments, 14 dB loss
- 300 MHz pulse repetition rate
- BB84+ decoy
  - Signal 0,175 ph/pulse
  - Decoy 0,067 ph/pulse
- QBER 5,5 %
- 2 kbit/s raw key
- 0,1-0,9 kbit/s secret key
- Key consumption 256 bit per 400s.

QKD distance limit is driven by exponential loss



Quantum repeaters

- Problem: to get 1 photon after 1000 km line you need to make ~ 10^{20} ts what is not practical
- Practical distances are within 100 km in the external lines and within 400 km in the lab (less than 1 bit/s)
- Solution comes from classical communication, we need a repeater
- What is a repeater
  - Device that captures a signal, regenerates it, and sends it further
- Classical repeater will inevitably cause noise
- Quantum repeater
  - Must capture and regenerate a photon without measuring its polarization
  - Requires *memory* for efficient operation
  - Requires entangled states

We need to create quantum correlations between Alice and Bob…



☹ The photons are likely to get lost on their way

Entanglement swapping



- Long-distance entanglement can be created by *entanglement swapping*
  - A Bell measurements on modes 2 and 4 entangles modes 1 and 4
  - This protocol has much in common with teleportation

Quantum relay



Long-distance entanglement can be created by *entanglement swapping*

☹ but to succeed, all links must work simultaneously.

→ success probability still decreases exponentially with distance.

The role of memory



- **But if we had quantum memory,**
  - entanglement in a link could be stored…
    until entanglement in other links has been created, too.
  - Bell-measurement on adjacent quantum memories...
    will create the desired long-distance entanglement.
  - Alice can teleport her photon to Bob

Quantum repeater

Memory | Memory | Memory | Memory

entangled

- **This technology is called *quantum repeater***
  - Initial idea: H. Briegel *et al.*, 1998
  - In application to EIT and quantum memory: L.M. Duan *et al.*, 2001
- Quantum memory for light is essential for long-distance quantum communications.